

# NM EQIP FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Albuquerque F.O.

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_\_ Final Rating \_\_\_\_\_

## 1. Plants - 80 Potential Points (40% of Total)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment	Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points
<b>Grazing Plan</b>	Intensive Rotation			20		
	Seasonal Use			10		
	Continuous Use			0	0	0
<b>Deferrment Period</b>	>90% of Growing Season			30		
	51-90% of Growing Season			20		
	26-50% of Growing Season			10		
	0-25% of Growing Season			5		
<b>Stocking Rate Based On:</b> MLRA _____ SITE _____ SL: 26-50	>65 acres/aum			30		
	50-65 acres/aum			20		
	35-49 acres/aum			10		
	<35 acres/aum			5		
				<b>1. Plants Total:</b>		

## 2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection -100 Potential Points (50% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.		Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
<b>Soil (Sheet and Rill, and/or Wind Erosion):</b>				
Brush Management (314) (Chemical or Mechanical)		10		
Critical Area Planting (342)		10		
<b>Soil (Ephemeral Gully and Concentrated Flow):</b>				
Erosion Control Structure (362) (348)		10		
<b>Plants (Productivity, Health, and Vigor)</b>				
Prescribed Grazing (528A)		10		
Brush Management (314) (Chemical or Mechanical)		10		
<b>Water Quantity</b>				
Fencing (382)		10		
Livestock Pipeline (516)		10		
Trough or Tank (614)		10		
Well (642)		10		
<b>Additional Practices that address other LWG resource concerns:</b>				
Wildlife Watering Facility (648), Pest Management (595), Spring Development (574)		10		
<b>2. Conservation Practice Selection Total:</b>				

## 3. Other Considerations - 20 Potential Points (10% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to <u>recommend</u> based on LWG advice, please include them as item E.		Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced. (List the species impacted)		5	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.		5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 309 project.		5	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.		5	0	
			0	
<b>3. Other Considerations Total:</b>			0	

# NM EQIP FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Albuquerque F.O.

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1\_\_\_\_\_ Section 2\_\_\_\_\_ Section 3\_\_\_\_\_ Total for Worksheet \_\_\_\_\_\*

**\*A minimum of \_\_\_\_\_ total points must be earned to be considered for contract selection.**

Revised Nov. 2005

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Designated Conservationist**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**